

Preparing the Next Generation of National Leaders in Academic Nursing

The Dean's Role in the NFS Application Process: Seven Best Practices

Prepared by
Jacquelyn C. Campbell, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.



Angela Amar, PhD, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Nurse Faculty Scholars



Robert Wood Johnson Foundation

November 1, 2011

Who We Are – RWJF Nurse Faculty Scholars

RWJF National Program Office

Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing

- Jacquelyn Campbell, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N., National Program Director
- Amy Rial, M.P.H., R.N., Deputy Director
- Katie Deming, Program Administrator
- Denise Rucker, Sr. Administrative Coordinator

RWJF

- Maryjoan Ladden, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N., Senior Program Officer, Human Capital Team
- David Krol, M.D., M.P.H., F.A.A.P., Team Director & Senior Program Officer, Human Capital Team



Martin Schiavenato, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

Who We Are – RWJF Nurse Faculty Scholars

National Advisory Committee

Chair

Angela Barron McBride , Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Current Members:

Linda Thompson Adams, Dr.P.H., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Richard Bucciarelli, M.D.

Thomas Chapman, Ed.D., M.P.H.

Jose Cordero, M.D.,

Kathleen Dracup, D.N.Sc., R.N, F.A.A.N.

Patricia Grady, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Divina Grossman, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Jane Henney, M.D.

Courtney Lyder, N.D., G.N.P., F.A.A.N.

Beverly Malone, Ph.D, R.N., F.A.A.N.

Spero Manson, Ph.D.

Afaf Meleis, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Nancy Woods, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N

May Wykle Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.

Today's Participants

We are joined by approximately 33 Deans from Schools of Nursing across the country, including those from:

- Minority Serving Institutions (HBCU, HACU-affiliated universities, and schools likely to have Native American students and faculty)
- Non-research intensive universities
- Institutions who have applied but whose candidates were not successful
- We are working hard to have more successful applications from all of you and have some ideas we hope will be helpful to you –and will welcome ideas from you



Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Nurse Faculty Scholars

Eric Hodges, PhD, RN,
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

Today's Objectives

- Overview of the NFS Program
- The Difference Deans Make in the Application Process – Seven Best Practices
- Summary of Critical Dates
- NFS Resources for Deans and Candidates
- Q&A



*Kathryn Laughon, PhD, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort*

RWJF Nurse Faculty Scholars – Purpose

- Develop the next generation of national leaders in academic nursing through career development awards for outstanding junior nursing faculty.
 - Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the NAC as well as NFS program staff recognize how crucial it is that nursing increase the diversity of its workforce for the 21st century and are therefore committed to increasing diversity of faculty leaders in order for this to be accomplished
- Strengthen the academic productivity & overall excellence of nursing schools by providing mentorship, leadership training, salary & research support to young faculty
 - NFS as a “leg up” experience

NFS Award - Overview

- Up to 12 scholars selected each year
- \$350,000 grant over 3 years
 - Scholars supported for 60% time for all 3 years of the program
 - Funding for an original research project (Approximately \$30,000 per year)
 - Training and travel expenses, support of mentor

NFS National Program Office provides:

- Assessment of leadership skills
 - Some leadership & skills training (media training and other skills) – one leadership meeting each year plus leadership training before national meeting - and facilitates acquisition of other leadership skills
 - Appoints a National Mentor
- A nursing school can nominate one candidate annually
 - * Therefore will need an internal mechanism to select
 - * May have no more than two active scholars at any one time



Tami Thomas, PhD, CPNP, RNC
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

NFS Program - Eligibility Criteria

- Be a registered nurse with a research doctorate in nursing or a related discipline.
- Be a junior faculty member in an accredited school of nursing with an academic position that could lead to tenure. Junior faculty are *usually* at the instructor or assistant professor level.
- After completing the doctorate, be in an academic position that could lead to tenure for at least two years and no more than five years at the start of the program.
- Identify at least one senior leader in the school of nursing to serve as a primary (nursing) mentor for academic career planning and to provide access to organizations, programs and colleagues helpful to the candidate's work as a Scholar.
- Identify at least one senior researcher in the university with similar or complementary research interests to serve as a research mentor. Scholars are encouraged to select the research mentor from a discipline outside of nursing.

NFS Program - Eligibility Criteria, continued

- Candidates must be citizens or permanent residents of the US or territories at the time of application.
- Candidates must not receive support from other research fellowships/ traineeships (e.g. K awards) at time they begin the program (they *can* have other NIH small grant funding – e.g. R03)
 - Former or current principal investigators on an NIH R01 research project or the equivalent at the time of application are not eligible to apply.

We embrace racial, ethnic and gender diversity and encourage applications from candidates with diverse backgrounds.

Note – candidates are eligible to submit an application for no more than two program cycles starting with first time 2012 applicants



Randy Jones, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

Nurse Faculty Scholars - Diversity

39 scholars from 33 different schools

- 45% are from non-research intensive schools*

Demographics –current scholars

- 6 (15 %) African American Scholars
- 2 Native American Scholars
- 2 Hispanic Scholars
- 6 (15%) Male Scholars



Donna Roberson, PhD, FNP-BC
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

*Research intensive is being within top 25 schools of nursing receiving NINR funding – non research intensive is all others outside that top 25

Deans Make a Difference

DEANS ORCHESTRATE THE APPLICATION PROCESS!

THEY ARE CRITICAL TO THE PROCESS OF IDENTIFYING,
RECRUITING AND MENTORING QUALIFIED CANDIDATES
FOR THE NFS PROGRAM



Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Nurse Faculty Scholars

*Angela McBride, PhD, RN, FAAN
Chair, RWJF NFS NAC*

Deans Make a Difference – Seven Best Practices

- I. Identifies and nominates qualified candidates early in the application process
- II. Ensures that the program's selection criteria is met
- III. Makes certain that candidates have primary and secondary mentors
- IV. Writes *Letter of Support* for candidates; showcases how candidate has met selection criteria
- V. Provides technical and other support for proposal development and submission
- VI. Establishes an effective review process to revise candidate's proposal in a timely manner; obtains outside reviewers for candidates, if needed
- VII. Keeps abreast of application deadlines; moves the application forward

Best Practice #1

Deans Identify and Nominate Candidates Early

Early Nomination Benefits

- Candidates identified early have ample time to work with mentors for developing , revising and polishing their applications
- Candidates often require technical assistance during preparation of the proposal
- Obtaining all required letters can be time-consuming and is a common delay for submission of applications
- Nominating candidates early gives them time to participate in and benefit from NFS web conference for applicants in December



I. Lessons Learned – Delayed Nomination

- Candidates have limited time to carefully check to make sure the application meets technical requirements and all elements are included
- There is a minimal time for proposed mentors and others writing *Letters of Support*
- Candidates have a limited opportunity to obtain knowledgeable reviews and revise proposal following review process
- There is a minimal window to navigate and manage possible delays with the online application process, especially in regard to uploading documents
 - There is a higher chance for error if the application is submitted at the last minute while under stress!



Teresa Sakraida, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

I. Tips and Insights for Deans

- Make sure that Faculty at your school are aware of this opportunity and other RWJF opportunities by having them register at <http://www.rwjf.org/services/>
- Selecting a candidate that is ready



Beverly Malone, PhD, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS NAC

Best Practice #2

Deans Ensure Selection Criteria is Met

A proposal must provide evidence of the following (in Dean's letter &/or other letters as well as in what candidate writes):

- Candidate has the potential for strong (long-term) commitment to a full career as an academic nurse leader, with the capacity to achieve rank of full professor
- Nominating institution & its senior leadership are committed to supporting the candidate's academic career and activities during the program
- Availability & commitment of qualified mentors & academic resources, including space as appropriate, at the candidate's institution
- Candidate has the potential to become a national leader in scholarly focus area

Best Practice #2

Deans Ensure Selection Criteria is Met, continued

- Candidate has a commitment to teaching excellence
- Candidate has a commitment to racial, ethnic, gender and cultural diversity in nursing
 - can be demonstrated through committee work, through the candidate having recruited or mentored nursing students or pre-nursing students of color, through their research etc - but it is extremely helpful for dean to speak to this in their letter
- Candidate's proposed research/scholarly plan demonstrates merit
- Proposed research and scholarship area has potential to serve as a foundation for the candidate's academic career and contribution to: nursing science, interdisciplinary knowledge in a focus area; and improvement of health and health care in the United States

II. Lessons Learned – When Candidates Didn't Meet Selection Criteria

- Unilateral focus on research to the exclusion of teaching or other aspects of academic leadership
 - e.g. talks about “buying out of” teaching as a goal - we need our faculty leaders to actively teach and we stress this in the program and how to manage to “do it all”
 - Scholars are expected to teach during the three years of NFS – that’s why 60%, not more
- Unclear institutional commitment
- Lack of academic leadership potential demonstrated in bio-sketch of applicant
 - Lack of publications (e.g. dissertation not published)
 - Lack of prior small research funding and completion to a publication
- Commitment to racial, ethnic, gender and cultural diversity in nursing is not sufficiently addressed in the application

II. Tips and Insights for Deans

Eligibility and Selection Criteria

- Review eligibility and selection criteria closely. Make sure that the candidate is knowledgeable about the criteria. Please contact the RWJF National Program Office with any questions.
- Letters of Support, written on behalf of candidates, must address specific selection criteria, i.e. institutional commitment, commitment to a full career in academic nursing, etc.



*Jennifer Doering, PhD, RN,
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort*

Best Practice #3

Deans Make Certain Candidate Has Appropriate Institutional Mentors

Primary Mentor – senior nursing leader within candidate’s school of nursing

- Will attend an orientation meeting at the beginning of the program
- Will attend annual national meeting with scholar each of the 3 years
- Provides academic nursing career mentorship
- Assist the scholar in navigating a career trajectory to become a leader in academic nursing
 - Does NOT have to be an expert in candidate’s field of research – in fact may know nothing about it! Best person in SON to help the candidate gain tenure – who “knows the ropes”

Best Practice #3

Deans Make Certain Candidate Has Appropriate Institutional Mentors, continued

Research Mentor – within the candidate's institution – on their campus – so they can meet with them frequently and easily

- From a discipline outside of nursing
- Similar or complementary research interests
 - Ideally in topic area but could also be a methods match
 - Does not have to be exact topic match – e.g. a candidate doing research on incarcerated women could be paired with a research mentor in women's health more broadly
- Guide the scholar in developing research projects and obtaining appropriate research skills – including publications
- Facilitate the scholar's membership on high-level academic committees and research collaboration

III. Lessons Learned – Mentorship

- Letters did not elaborate a clear commitment and/or detailed plan for mentorship
- Poor match of research mentor to scholar –
 - lack of obvious match not referred to in research mentor's letter so that nature of match becomes clear to reviewers
- Lack of academic leadership demonstrated on biosketch of primary mentor
- Lack of research leadership demonstrated by publications, grantsmanship, and other benchmarks on the part of the research mentor
- Candidates not well prepared for the interview process



Kynna Wright-Volel, PhD,
RN, MPH, FAAN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

III. Tips and Insights for Deans

Mentorship

- Encourage the candidate to draw on mentoring relationships early while developing proposals
- Recommend a mentor who will “stretch the candidate”, someone beyond his/her dissertation chair or committee member
- Direct the candidate toward an appropriate research mentor at your university
- Letter of reference speaks to the candidate’s progression; contains evidence that the candidate uses mentoring relationships well
- Help define the role and specifics of how candidate will be mentored (e.g. frequency of meetings, proposed outcomes)
- Gather an interdisciplinary team to conduct a mock interview with the candidate

Best Practice #4

Deans Write Effective Letters of Support

- Dean's letter speaks to each of selection criteria as much as possible – with specific examples, evidence
 - Dean's letter often best place to find evidence of commitment to teaching excellence (e.g. brief summary of outstanding teaching evaluations or a student comment about)
 - and commitment to academic nursing career – potential to achieve full professor - therefore good start in all areas where will be evaluated for promotion
- Dean's letter contains evidence that the candidate uses mentoring relationships well
- Dean's letter speaks to what each of mentors will do for candidate – for instance:
 - Research and/or primary mentor's reputation for mentoring in SON and across the university
 - Match with research mentor if not obvious in research mentor's letter or biosketch
 - If research mentor is Director of a Center or head of a research team that candidate will be able to join

IV. Tips and Insights for Deans

- Go over goal statement with candidate to be sure it meets the goals of the Nurse Faculty Scholars program –
 - Be sure goal statement resonates with selection criteria – especially commitment to full career in Academic Nursing
 - Remind candidate to look at RWJF website to make sure application is in synch with Foundation goals and purposes
- Have candidate ask for research and primary mentor letters early and go over letters with candidate before writing own letter
 - To make sure the three main support letters in a package speak to all the selection criteria
 - To add other points to your Dean's letter not covered in other two or emphasize points not strong in others



Robert Atkins, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

Best Practice #5

Deans Provide Support in Proposal Development

Career Goal Statement

Proposal Abstract

Proposal Narrative

- a. Current Educational Goals
- b. Research Goals
- c. Proposed Research
 - i. Statement of the research problem and specific aims of the project
 - ii. Background
 - iii. Previous Studies and Preparation for Conducting Research
 - iv. Methods
 - v. Summary

References

APPLICATION
COMPONENTS (as soon
as candidate registers has
access to templates)



Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Nurse Faculty Scholars

AkkeNeel Talsma, PhD, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

Best Practice #5

Deans Provide Support in Proposal Development

Letters of Support

- Dean's Nomination Letter Template
- Provost's Institutional Support Letter Template
- Primary (Nursing) Mentor's Recommendation/Commitment Letter and Biographical Sketch
- Research Mentor's Recommendation/Commitment Letter and Biographical Sketch
- Letter of Recommendation Template

Biographical Sketches (use NIH format):

- Applicant
- Primary Nursing Mentor
- Research Mentor

APPLICATION COMPONENTS



*Jesus Casida, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort*

V. Lessons Learned – Proposals with Problems

- Problems in Research Methods
 - Challenges and barriers to conducting research not fully articulated
 - Poor articulation of methodology– insufficient detail in methods – background sometimes too long to leave sufficient room for methods
- Insufficient case as to the potential of program of research to improve health and health care in the United States (e.g. clinical relevance of lab research)
- Insufficient specificity in research questions, methods, and data analysis
- Institutional commitment is lacking especially in terms of resources available to help conduct the research
- Guidelines of NIH bio-sketch not followed
- Proposal had missing documents (*letter from a mentor or mentor CV*)
- Academic leadership potential was not highlighted

V. Lessons Learned – Proposals with Problems, continued

- Poor articulation of commitment and sensitivity to diversity issues that will shape the academic nursing role in the 21st century - in service to school of nursing, university and/or in research
 - For instance, how the research will help close health disparities/inequities is an important value to RWJF and to NFS – not necessary to speak to but helps make the case
- Poor articulation of plans for use of RWJF NFS resources for leadership development across domains in academic nursing
- Lack of vision about career trajectory and goals



V. Tips and Insights for Deans on Proposal Development

- Encourage candidate to write and re-write proposal
 - Seek input of both mentors on proposal in plenty of time to rewrite and then polish
- Best proposals address the “So what?” question about the value of the research –
 - The proposed study does not have to be immediately transferable to health policy (!) but application can show how the study lays the foundation to do so (“this study is the appropriate next step in my program of research or research career that will eventually...”)
- Total application – proposals, biosketch and letters of support - demonstrate candidate is laying foundation for leadership in scholarship, teaching, practice and service – as will need to for promotion
 - Direct practice if relevant (several NFS are NP’s) but at least contributes to practice

V. Tips and Insights for Deans on Proposal Development, continued

- Showcase how the proposed research will impact (or lay the foundation for a program of research that will do so) the health of Americans, nursing science, interdisciplinary research,
 - And/or translate nursing knowledge “from bench to bedside” with ability to show improved health outcomes
 - Be sure the proposed research is substantive – not described as a “pilot” – should need three full years of funding – be the scope of an R03 or R21 or K award type research
- Solid research plan with societal value; well-articulated and has relevance for health care and policy



Diane Von Ah, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort

Best Practice #6

Deans Establish An Effective Review Process

- Assist in the assembly of the candidate's review team
- Use internal research proposal review process if available
- Find and contact outside reviewers if needed - provide resources for outside review if necessary
- Establish an effective and timely review process
- Maintain communications with candidates and mentors



Nancy Woods, PhD, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS NAC

Best Practice #7

Deans Keep Abreast of Deadlines

Critical Dates

October 20, 2011	2012 Call for Proposals Released
October 23, 2011:	RWJF NFS presentation at the AACN Fall Dean's Meeting
February 7, 2012:	Due date for applications
June 4-6, 2012:	Semi-finalist interviews in Chicago
September 1, 2012:	2012 Cohort Grants begin
Sign up for RWJF alerts:	http://www.rwjf.org/services/



Sandra Kuntz, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '09 Cohort

Online NFS Resources for Deans and Candidates

- Dean's Nomination Letter Template
- Provost's Institutional Support Letter Template
- Primary (Nursing) Mentor's Recommendation/Commitment Letter and Biographical Sketch
- Research Mentor's Recommendation/Commitment Letter and Biographical Sketch
- Letter of Recommendation Template
- FAQ's, individual email and telephone support from DD and Program Director

www.rwjfnursefaculty.com

Contact the NFS National Program Office via the helpdesk for additional information

NFS Contact Information for Deans and Candidates

Nurse Faculty Scholars Program National Program Office
Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing

Jacquelyn Campbell, Ph.D., R.N., F.A.A.N.,
National Program Director – Nurse Faculty Scholars Program

Amy Rial, M.P.H., R.N.,
Deputy Director – Nurse Faculty Scholars Program
arial1@son.jhmi.edu

Ph: 1-877-738-0737

info@rwjfnursefacultyscholars.org



*Joachim Voss, PhD, RN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort*

Applicant Webinars

December 6, 2011 at 1:00 pm ET

December 14, 2011 at 11:00 am ET

Please visit our website at www.rwjfnursfacultyscholars.org/events
to register



Robin Knobel, PhD, RNC
RWJF NFS '10 Cohort

NFS Think Ahead Webinar


ANY QUESTIONS?



Robert Wood Johnson Foundation
Nurse Faculty Scholars

*RWJF NFS National Meeting 2010
RWJF NFS Scholars Cohorts '08 - '10*

Thank You!



*Jacquelyn Taylor, PhD, RN, PNP-BC, RN, FAAN
RWJF NFS '08 Cohort*